REMARKS

- Reconsideration and further prosecution of the aboveidentified application are respectfully requested in view of the amendments and discussion that follows. Claims 1-22 are pending in this application. Claims 1-5, 7-8, 11-15 and 17-22 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Pat. No. 4,620,110 to Cooney. Claims 6 and 16 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being obvious over Cooney. Claims 9 and 10 have been objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but allowable if rewritten in independent form. careful review of the claims (as amended), it has been concluded that the rejections are in error and the rejections are, therefore, traversed.
- 2. Claims 1-5, 7-8, 11-15 and 17-22 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Pat. No. 4,620,110 to Cooney. In response, independent claims 11 and 19 have been further limited to a voltage 1. converting power supply that supplies power to the audio signal processor while the voltage converting power supply is disposed within or not disposed within the enclosure. Support for the additional limitation may be found at locations within the specification numerous paragraph [0027], paragraph [0036], etc.).

In contrast, the Cooney power module 20 must be removed from the recess 16 in order to supply power to the radio 10 by plugging into an electrical outlet. In this case, the Cooney power module 20 is provided with a set of electrical contacts 30 that cannot be plugged into an

electrical outlet unless the module 20 is removed from the radio 10. In the one case, (FIGs. 3-6), the Cooney electrical contacts 30 is disposed into the rear of the recess 16, thereby preventing use of the module 20 for supplying power when the module 20 is inserted into the recess 16. In another case, (FIGs. 7-8), the contacts 30 are disposed on the side, thereby also preventing use of the module 20.

In another case, Cooney provides a button 40 that disconnects the module 20 when the module 20 is inserted into the recess 16. As described by Cooney, when the button 40 is activated by insertion of the module 20, power is supplied to the radio 10 from batteries 68.

In addition, a removable voltage converting power supply for use with audio signal processors (as under the claimed invention) has utility that would not be needed or appreciated in the case of a radio. For example, a power supply integrated into a wall plug (as under Cooney) would take up too much room on a power strip (specification, paragraph [0036]). In contrast, the claimed invention uses a separate plug 56.

In addition, the ability to locate a removable voltage converting power supply inside an enclosure of the audio signal processor saves space in crowded audio signal processing racks. The ability to locate the removable power supply anywhere between the base station 14 and wall outlet avoids interference when many base stations 14 are used in close proximity (specification, paragraph [0037].

Since Cooney does not meet the explicit limitations of the claims or offer the same functionality, the claimed invention is clearly differentiated over Cooney. Since the claims are now clearly differentiated over Cooney, any rejection based upon Cooney would now be improper and should be withdrawn.

- 4. Claims 6 and 16 have been rejected as being obvious over Cooney. However, as amended, Cooney fails to teach or suggest a removable power supply that supplies power both when the power supply is disposed within and not disposed within the enclosure. Since Cooney fails to teach or suggest this particular claim element, the rejection is believed to be improper and should be withdrawn.
- 5. Allowance of claims 1-22, as now presented, is believed to be in order and such action is earnestly solicited. Should the Examiner be of the opinion that a telephone conference would expedite prosecution of the subject application, he is respectfully requested to telephone applicant's undersigned attorney.

Respectfully submitted, WELSH & KATZ, LTD.

Jon P. Christensen

Registration No. 34,137

March 1, 2004
WELSH & KATZ, LTD.
120 South Riverside Plaza
22nd Floor
Chicago, Illinois 60606
(312) 655-1500



COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE P.O. Box 1450 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

	12		Paper No.
	X	Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (37 CFR 1.121)	
37 CFR be comp docume	1.121, as pliant, con ent must	document filed on	e amendment document to -compliant amendment
THE FO	LLOWI	NG CHECKED (X) ITEM(S) CAUSE THE AMENDMENT DOCUMENT TO BE	NON-COMPLIANT:
	1. Amer	ndments to the specification:	
		A. Amended paragraph(s) do not include markings.	DECENTED
		B. New paragraph(s) should not be underlined. C. Other	RECEIVED
			MAR 0 9 2004
	2. Abstr		
		A. Not presented on a separate sheet. 37 CFR 1.72. B. Other	Technology Center 2600
	3. Amer	ndments to the drawings:	
		A. A complete listing of <u>all</u> of the claims is not present. B. The listing of claims does not include the text of all claims (including withdrawn C. Each claim has not been provided with the proper status identifier, and as such, t claim cannot be identified. D. The claims of this amendment paper have not been presented in ascending nume E. Other:	he individual status of each
		nation of the amendment format required by 37 CFR 1.121, see MPEP Sec. 714 and tov/web/offices/pac/dapp/opla/preognotice/officeflyer.pdf	the USPTO website at
this lette non-entr changes	er to supp ry of the in the pr	iant amendment is a PRELIMINARY AMENDMENT , applicant is given ONE Moly the corrected section which complies with 37 CFR 1.121. Failure to comply with preliminary amendment and examination on the merits will commence without correliminary amendment(s). This notice is not an action under 35 U.S.C. 132, and this e.	n 37 CFR 1.121 will result in onsideration of the proposed
since the	e amendr ONTH fr	iant amendment is a reply to a NON-FINAL OFFICE ACTION (including a sunent appears to be a <i>bona fide</i> attempt to be a reply (37 CFR 1.135(c)), applicant if om the mailing of this notice within which to re-submit the corrected section which abandonment. EXTENSIONS OF THIS TIME PERIOD ARE AVAILABLE UNITED AND ARE AVAILABLE UNITED ASSETTIONS OF THIS TIME PERIOD ASSETTIONS OF THIS TIME PE	s given a TIME PERIOD of complies with 37 CFR 1.121
respons		t is a reply to a FINAL REJECTION, this form may be an attachment to an Advis- nal rejection continues to run from the date set in the final rejection, and is not at adment.	
Bobbi	e Daveni	703-305-9630	

Telephone No.

Legal Instruments Examiner (LIE)



09909/67 Serial Number

"Currently Amended claims must show markings.

<u>Canc</u>	eled claims cannot show text of claim.	RECEIVED
AS "Ame	ended" is not a proper status identifier.	MAR 0 9 2004 Technology Center 2600
	ndments to the Specifications should begin on a separate p	
Amen	ndment to the Claims should begin on a separate page.	
<u>"Rem</u>	arks" should begin on a separate sheet.	
amend	Cover Sheet", amendments to the "Specifications", dments to the "Claims", and "Remarks" should begin on a separate sheet.	
Only '	"Currently Amended" and "Withdrawn" claims can show m	parkings.
	ings should each have "Replacement Sheet(s)" or "Annota (s)" as a heading.	<u>fed</u>
<u>"Previdenti</u>	iously Added" is not a proper status fier.	,
"Previ	iously Amended" is not a proper status identifier.	
A Clea	an copy and A Marked Up copy of the Claims is a Non-Com at.	pliant amendment
<u>"Re-P</u>	resented" is an improper status identifier.	
<u>"Clain</u>	ns have been cancelled" is an improper st	atus identifier.

 A Clean copy and a Marked Up copy of Substitute Specification is needed.
 Amendments to the Specifications must be by marked-up replacement paragraphs or sections only; (no clean or replacement paragraph or section is required; No replacements sheets permitted.

REVISED AMENDMENT PRACTICE HIGHLIGHTS

Requirements of the revised amendment practice of the final rule RELIVED amendments filed on or after July 30, 2003:

MAR 0 9 2004

- 1. Each amendment section <u>must begin on a separate sheet</u> Technology Center 2600
- 2. A <u>separate "clean" version</u> of a currently amended paragraph or claim is NO LONGER REQUIRED (except for a <u>substitute</u> specification see No. 5 below)
- 3. If the amendment adds, changes, or deletes any claim, a <u>listing of all</u> <u>claims</u> that are, or were, in the application, must be provided as follows:
 - The claims must be listed in ascending numerical order
 - The <u>text of all pending claims including withdrawn claims must</u> <u>be presented</u>; no text for "canceled" or "not entered" claims
 - A <u>status identifier</u> must be presented in parentheses after the claim number for each claim; <u>only</u> the <u>following identifiers</u> are <u>permitted:</u> (original), (currently amended), (canceled), (withdrawn), (new), (previously presented), and (not entered)
 - All "currently amended" claims must have <u>markings</u> to show changes; the only markings permitted are underlining for added matter, strikethrough and double brackets [[]] (for five or fewer characters) for deleted matter
 - Grouping of consecutive "canceled" or "not entered" claims permitted (e.g., claims 2-8 (canceled))

- 5. Amendments to the specification by <u>marked-up replacement</u> <u>paragraphs or sections only</u> no clean replacement paragraph or section is required; <u>NO</u> replacement <u>sheets</u> permitted
 - Note, however, that for <u>substitute</u> specifications, rule 1.125 continues to require both a clean and marked-up copy
- 6. <u>Drawing changes</u> without markings clean replacement drawing sheets only annotated sheet(s) showing changes may be submitted